

Online Continuing Education for Professional Engineers Since 2009

Wet Floodproofing of Structures

PDH Credits: **2 PDH**

Course No.: WFS101

Publication Source:



"Wet Floodproofing"

Engineering Principles and Practices for Retrofitting Flood-Prone Residential Structures Chapter 5W 3rd Edition

> Release Date: Jan. 2012

DISCLAIMER:

All course materials available on this website are not to be construed as a representation or warranty on the part of Online-PDH, or other persons and/or organizations named herein. All course literature is for reference purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for competent, professional engineering council. Use or application of any information herein, should be done so at the discretion of a licensed professional engineer in that given field of expertise. Any person(s) making use of this information, herein, does so at their own risk and assumes any and all liabilities arising therefrom.

> Copyright © 2009 Online-PDH - All Rights Reserved 1265 San Juan Dr. - Merritt Island, FL 32952 Phone: 321-501-5601

ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES



Wet Floodproofing

Wet floodproofing can be defined as permanent or contingent measures applied to a structure and/or its contents that prevent or provide resistance to damage from flooding by allowing floodwater to enter the structure. The basic characteristic that distinguishes wet floodproofing from dry floodproofing is that it allows internal flooding of a structure as opposed to providing essentially watertight protection.

NOTE

Wet floodproofing is appropriate for basements, garages, and enclosed areas below the flood protection level.

Flooding of a structure's interior is intended to counteract

hydrostatic pressure on the walls, floors, and supports of the structure by equalizing interior and exterior water levels during a flood. Inundation also reduces the danger of buoyancy from hydrostatic uplift forces. Such measures may require alteration of a structure's design and construction, use of flood-resistant materials, adjustment of building operations and maintenance procedures, relocation and modification of equipment and contents, and emergency preparedness for actions that require human intervention. This chapter examines:

- protection of the structure;
- design of openings for intentional flooding of enclosed areas below the DFE;
- use of flood-resistant materials;
- adjustment of building operations and maintenance procedures;
- the need for emergency preparedness for actions that require human intervention; and
- design of protection for the structure and its contents, including utility systems and appliances.



WARNING

The NFIP allows wet floodproofing only in limited situations. The most common application is with pre-FIRM structures not subject to substantial damage and/or substantial improvement criteria. Structures in the pre-FIRM category can utilize any retrofitting method. However, for new structures or those that have been substantially damaged or are being substantially improved, application of wet floodproofing techniques is limited to the following situations:

Enclosed areas below the BFE that are used solely for building access, parking, or limited storage. These areas must be designed to allow for the automatic entry and exit of floodwater through the use of openings, and be constructed of flood- resistant materials.

Attached garages. A garage attached to a residential structure, constructed with the garage floor slab below the BFE, must be designed to allow for the automatic entry and exit of floodwater. Openings are required in the exterior walls of the garage or in the garage doors. In addition, the areas below the BFE must be constructed with flood-resistant materials.

FEMA has advised communities that variances to allow wet floodproofing may be issued for certain categories of structures. Refer to FEMA's NFIP Technical Bulletin 7-93, Wet Floodproofing Requirements for Structures Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in Accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program (FEMA, 1993b).

5W.1 Protection of the Structure

As with dry floodproofing techniques, developing a wet floodproofing strategy requires site-specific evaluations that may necessitate the services of a design professional. The potential for failure of various structural components (foundations, cavity walls, and solid walls) subjected to inundation is a major cause of structural damage. Some of the reasons a house would need to be wet floodproofed include the following:

- it is a pre-FIRM house located in an area below the BFE;
- it is an historic structure and elevating it is not an option;
- it has an attached garage;
- it is located in an area above the BFE where there is significant flooding potential; or
- it has accessory structures (e.g., detached garage or storage shed).



NOTE

FEMA strongly encourages that flood retrofits provide protection to the DFE (or BFE plus 1 foot, whichever is higher). However, in some situations a lower flood-protection level may be appropriate. Homeowners and design professionals should meet with a local building official to discuss the selected retrofit measure and the elevation to which it will protect the home. The text and examples in this manual assume flood protection measures will be implemented to the DFE.

The following is an explanation of various building systems that can be wet floodproofed. Each section explains the typical building materials used to construct them and cautions the user about various methods.

In some locations, the use of ASCE 24 may be required by the building codes. This standard includes minimum requirements for wet floodproofing (Section 6.3), specifically the limitations of use for the space

and design load minimums; it also presents requirements for the utilities located below the minimum elevation requirements. Other sections of the standard discuss flood-resistant materials (Section 5.0) and minimum design elevations below which more stringent design requirements are required (Table 6-1 of ASCE 24).

While wet floodproofing offers an improved level of protection for a structure, extended floodwater inundation of areas subject to flooding could still cause damage to the materials. Additionally the areas above the wet floodproofing are still at risk of damage. This damage could result from higher than expected floodwater, contamination, or toxic materials in close proximity to the house, or growth of mold from extended inundation or higher than normal levels of humidity. There is remaining risk for the areas either wet floodproofed or above the wet floodproofing. This risk is referred to as the residual risk for the structure. While this remaining or residual risk can be financially minimized with the purchase of flood insurance, a homeowner living in a flood-prone area should be aware that some level of risk cannot be eliminated by either physical risk reduction measures like floodproofing or financial risk reduction measures like insurance. The extent of their selected level of protection should be consonant with their ability to absorb the implications of the residual risk. Many design guidance documents and design standards such as ASCE 24 incorporate freeboard, or additional elevation above the BFE, to serve as a risk reduction tool. However, the designer and homeowner should be aware that in some instances floodwater can exceed even freeboard elevations and determine methods of addressing this inherent residual risk.

5W.1.1 Foundations

The ability of floodwater to adversely affect the integrity of structure foundations by eroding supporting soil, scouring foundation material, and undermining footings necessitates careful examination of foundation designs and actual construction. Footings should be located deep enough below grade so that flood-related erosion does not reach the top of the footing. In addition, it is vital that the structure be adequately anchored to the foundation. A continuous load path is necessary due to uplift forces during a flood event, which are often great enough to separate an improperly anchored structure from its foundation should floodwater reach such a height. Foundation walls must be checked for lateral support to verify that any lateral forces imposed by floodwater can be resisted. Areas where cripple walls are used should be checked to verify that they are properly braced.

5W.1.2 Cavity Walls

Wet floodproofing equalizes hydrostatic pressure throughout the structure by allowing floodwater to enter the structure and equalize internal and external hydrostatic pressure. Thus, any attempt to seal internal air spaces within the wall system is not only technically difficult, but is also contrary to the wet floodproofing approach. Provisions must be made for the cavity space to fill with water and drain at a rate approximately equal to the floodwater rate of rise and fall. Insulation within cavity walls subject to inundation should also be a type that is not subject to damage from floodwater. The design of foundation openings to equalize hydrostatic pressure is covered in Section 5E.1.2.1. Following a flooding event, it may be necessary to remove one side of a cavity wall to allow the interior to properly dry. It is also necessary to verify that drainage or weep holes remain clear of debris. Although not always an indicator of water trapped within a cavity wall system, the presence of efflorescence (white staining) on a wall system may indicate that the wall may not be properly draining and that the cavity does not have sufficient drainage holes. This type of staining may be present in cavity walls and indicate the significant transfer of moisture.

5W.1.3 Solid Walls

Solid walls are designed without internal spaces that could retain floodwater. Because these walls can be somewhat porous, they can absorb moisture and, to a limited degree, associated contaminants. Such intrusion could cause internal damage, especially in a cold (freeze-thaw) climate. Therefore, where solid walls are constructed of porous material, the retrofitting measures should include both exterior and interior protective cladding to guard against absorption. Some liquid products may be applied to each face of porous wall systems. It is possible for voids or cavities within solid wall systems to be open and not grouted and, therefore, retain additional moisture. These are difficult to grout as a retrofit, but it may be necessary to allow them to drain following a major flooding event.

5W.2 Use of Flood-Resistant Materials

In accordance with the NFIP, all materials exposed to floodwater must be durable, resistant to flood forces, and retardant to deterioration caused by repeated exposure to floodwater. Interior building elements such as wall finishes, floors, ceilings, roofs, and building envelope openings can also suffer considerable damage from inundation by floodwater, which can lead to failure or an unclean situation. The exterior cladding of a structure subject to flooding should be nonporous, resistant to chemical corrosion or debris deposits, and conducive to easy cleaning. Interior cladding should be easy to clean and not susceptible to damage from inundation. Likewise, floors, ceilings, roofs, fasteners, gaskets, connectors, and building envelope openings should be constructed of flood-resistant materials to minimize damage during and after floodwater inundation.

Generally, these performance requirements indicate that masonry construction is the most suited to wet floodproofing in terms of damage resistance. In some cases, wood or steel structures may be candidates, provided that the wood is pressure treated or naturally decay-resistant and steel is galvanized or protected with rust-retardant paint. A detailed list of appropriate materials can be found in NFIP Technical Bulletin 2-08, Flood-Resistant Materials Requirements for Buildings Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in Accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program. Table 2 of Technical Bulletin 2-08 can be used as a guide for selecting structural (framing and some sheathing) and nonstructural (coverings,



CROSS REFERENCE

Detailed guidance is provided in FEMA's NFIP Technical Bulletin 2-08, Flood-Resistant Materials Requirements for Buildings Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in Accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program (FEMA, 2008a).



Additional information on these elements can be obtained from FEMA's NFIP Technical Bulletin 7-93, Wet Floodproofing Requirements for Structures Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in Accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program (FEMA, 1993b).



WARNING

The use of wall coverings in flood-prone areas needs to be carefully researched. Standard gypsum board is not considered a flood resistant material. Water-resistant gypsum board, commonly referred to as "greenboard," is intended for areas where water may be splashed such as around bathroom sinks; however, it is not considered to be a flooddamage-resistant material. Only products such as cement board or proprietary products designed for submersion in water should be considered for use in areas subject to floodwater.

finishes, insulation, cabinets, doors, partitions, and windows) building components for use below the BFE. Some combinations of acceptable materials may result in unacceptable conditions; always refer to the manufacturer's specifications for more information. In addition to the material selection, Technical Bulletin 2-08 also explains the criteria for selecting connectors and fasteners for below the BFE. It should be noted, however, that the locally enforced building code may include more strict provisions than those stated in Technical Bulletin 2-08.

5W.3 Building Operations and Maintenance Procedures and Emergency Preparedness Plans

The operational procedure aspect of applying floodproofing techniques involves both the structure's functional requirements for daily use and the allocation of space with consideration of each function's potential for flood damage. Daily operations and space use can be organized and modified to minimize damage caused by floodwater.

5W.3.1 Flood Warning System

Because wet floodproofing will, in most cases, require some human intervention when a flood is imminent, it is extremely important that there be adequate time to execute such actions. This may be as simple as monitoring local weather reports, the NWS alarm system, or a local flood warning system.

5W.3.2 Inspection and Maintenance Plan

Every wet floodproofing design requires some degree of periodic inspection and maintenance to ensure that all components will properly operate under flood conditions. Components of the system, including valves and opening covers, should be inspected and operated at least annually.

It is advisable to consider adding more flood openings to ensure they are easily opened and will allow floodwater to enter the building as planned.



Homeowners and designers should consider developing a plan for elevating belongings in storage areas prior to the arrival of floodwater because, over time, contents may increase in this area and it may be difficult to quickly move tightly packed contents.

Some owners have used a line or marking on the wall to illustrate BFEs or historic floods as a reference/ reminder of how high off the ground contents that would be damaged by floodwater should be stored.

5W.3.3 Emergency Operations Plan

This type of plan is essential when wet floodproofing requires human intervention, such as adjustments to or relocation of contents and utilities. A list of specific actions and the location of necessary materials to perform these actions should be developed.

5W.3.4 Protection of Utility Systems

The purpose of the retrofitting methods in this section is to prevent damage to building contents and equipment caused by contact with floodwater by isolating these components from floodwater. Isolation of these components can take the form of relocation, elevation, or protection in place (see Figure 5W-1).

Figure 5W-1. Elevated air conditioning compressor



Local codes may require the use of ASCE 24, which covers utilities in Section 7.0. The standard provides guidance on electrical; plumbing; sanitary sewer; mechanical; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems; and elevators. Depending on the building classification, Table 7.1 of ASCE-24 states minimum elevation requirements for utility and attendant equipment protection. Utilities and attendant equipment below this elevation will require increased loading and design requirements. Some of these requirements state minimum loading requirements, while others state use requirements during and immediately after a design flood event. Although utilities and attendant equipment may be located above the minimum requirements, these requirements also cover wires, pipes, lines, etc., that are located below the minimum elevation.

5W.4 Elevation

The most effective method of protection for equipment and contents is to elevate and/or relocate (permanently or temporarily) threatened items out of harm's way. The interior of the structure must be organized in a way that ensures easy access, facilitates relocation, and meets current building code requirements.

Both inside and outside of the flood-prone structure, elevation of key components may be achieved through the use of existing or specially constructed platforms or pedestals. Contingent

CROSS REFERENCE

Refer to FEMA 348, Protecting Building Utilities from Flood Damage: Principles and Practices for the Design and Construction of Flood Resistant Building Utility Systems (FEMA, 1999a) for details on the protection of utility systems.

elevation can be accomplished by the use of hoists or an overhead suspension system. Relocated utilities placed on pedestals are subject to wind and earthquake damage and must be secured to resist wind and seismic forces.

Conversion from a conventional water heater to a tankless water heater is another mitigation opportunity. Although there are conflicting reports on the expected savings to be gained by the conversion, the conversion allows the unit to be moved well above the BFE in many instances. Electrically heated units may have the option of being located inside the house, but liquid propane or natural gas units should be well ventilated and located on the exterior of the house or in a garage or other area. In some instances, energy tax credits may be available to assist in offsetting the higher purchase cost. Either type of unit should always be installed by a licensed plumbing or heating/air contractor.

5W.5 In-Place Protection

Some types of utilities can be protected in place through a variety of options, such as:

- anchors and tie-downs to prevent flotation;
- low barriers or shields; and
- protective coatings.

The use of flood enclosures to protect utilities (see Figure 5W-2) should be considered an option of last resort and should not be considered a best practice. Floodwater exceeding the predicted height or failure of low barriers or shields can result in loss of the entire unit. This alternative should only be considered if there is no possible way to relocate the unit. Utility systems as used here are mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems, including water, sewer, electricity, telephone, CATV, natural gas, etc. The recommendations presented in this section are intended for use individually or in common to mitigate the potential for flood-related damage.





Developing in-place protection should incorporate design elements into the solution. Walls should be designed with some factor of safety above the floodwater elevation. The wall should be designed to the DFE to incorporate a factor of safety into the protection. The protection measure should be able to resist the hydrostatic loads for the full height of the wall system. Maintenance access to the utility should be carefully considered. It is important to create a passive protection system. Under normal use, the utility should be protected from floodwater and accessible only during times of maintenance. This measure will ensure that the homeowner is not at risk when floodwater rises. Penetrations through the in-place protection should also be sealed to prevent the intrusion of floodwater. Finally the design should consider offset distances from the equipment. Utility systems requiring air flow or air circulation of safety or proper operation should not be enclosed by walls so tightly that it causes improper operation of the unit or causes a safety issue to develop.

5W.6 Field Investigation

Detailed information must be obtained about the existing structure to make decisions and calculations concerning the feasibility of using wet floodproofing. Use Figures 5-2 and 5-3 as a guide to record information.

Once this data is collected, the designer should answer the questions contained in Figure 5W-3, to confirm the measure(s) selected and develop a preliminary concept for the installation of wet floodproofing measures.

Once a conceptual approach toward wet floodproofing has been developed, the designer should discuss the following items with the homeowner:

previous flood history, flood depths, and equipment/systems impacted by the floods;

- plan of action as to what equipment can be relocated and what equipment will have to remain below the DFE;
- length of power outages, water shut-off, or fuel shut-off for work to be completed;
- specific scope of items to be designed; and
- any unsafe practices or code violations or exceptions to current codes.

Flood-Resistant Retrofitting Field Investigation Worksheet

Owner Name:	Prepared By:
Address:	Date:
Property Location:	
Design Flood Elevation (DFE) HVAC System Can equipment feasibly be relocated: • To a pedestal or balcony above the DFE? Yes No • To a higher level on the same floor level? Yes No • To the next floor level? Yes • Is space available for the equipment in the alternate location • Can existing spaces be modified to accept equipment? • Is additional space needed? Yes No • Do local codes restrict such relocations? • Can all equipment be protected in-place?	? Yes No Yes No
 Can all equipment be protected in-place?tes No Is it feasible to install a curb or "pony" wall around equipment Is it feasible to construct a waterproof vault around equipment Can reasonably sized sump pumps keep water away from the Fuel System Can equipment feasibly be relocated: To a pedestal or balcony above the DFE?Yes No To a bicher level on the same floor level?Yes No 	nt to act as a barrier?YesNo ent below the DFE? Yes No he equipment? Yes No
 To the next floor level?YesNo To the next floor level?YesNo Is space available for the equipment in the alternate location Can existing spaces be modified to accept equipment? Is additional space needed?YesNo Do local codes restrict such relocations?YesNo Can all equipment be protected in-place?YesNo Is the tank properly protected against horizontal and vertical Is it feasible to install a curb or "pony" wall around equipment 	? Yes No Yes No I forces from velocity flow and buoyancy?Yes No nt to act as a barrier? Yes No
 Can reasonably sized sump pumps keep water away from th Is the meter properly protected against velocity and impact f Do local code officials and the gas company allow the meter 	e equipment? Yes No forces? Yes No to be relocated to a higher location?YesNo

Figure 5W-3. Flood-Resistant Retrofitting Field Investigation Worksheet

Flectrical System	
Is it feasible to relocate the meter base and service lateral above the DEF? Ves No	
 Is it feasible to relocate the main nanel and branch circuits above the DFE? Ves No 	
Is it feasible to relocate the main panel and branch circuits above the DTE:N	
 Is it feasible to relocate appliances, neceptacles, and circuits above the DFE? Is it feasible to relocate light switches and recentacles above the DFE? 	
Is it reasible to relocate light switches and receptacies above the DEC?	
Can ground ladit interrupter protection be added to circuits below the DFE? tes No	
Can service lateral outside penetrations be sealed to prevent water entrance?	
Can cables and/or conduit be mechanically lastened to prevent damage during hooding? Yes No	
Call splices and connections be made water-resistant of relocated above the DFE? Yes No	
• Do local code officials and electric companies allow the elevation of the meter? Yes No	
Sewage Management System	
Can the on-site system be protected in-place? Yes No	
• Is it feasible to anchor the tank? Yes No	
Can the distribution box and leech field be protected from scour and impact forces? Yes No	
Can the supply lines be properly protected from scour and impact forces?YesNo	
 Can backflow prevention valves be used to minimize flow of sewage into the building? Yes No 	
Can equipment feasibly be relocated? Yes No	
 Can the system be moved to a higher elevation on the property? Yes No 	
Can the tank be relocated to a higher elevation or indoors? Yes No	
Can the drains and toilets be relocated above the DFE? Yes No	
 Is space available for the equipment in the alternate location? Yes No 	
 Can existing spaces be modified to accept equipment? Yes No 	
Is additional space needed?Yes No	
Do local codes restrict such relocations? Yes No	
Potable Water System	
Can the equipment feasibly be relocated? Yes No	
 Can the well be moved to a higher elevation on the property? Yes No 	
 Can the electric controls for the well be protected from inundation? Yes No 	
 Can the tank be relocated to a higher elevation or indoors? Yes No 	
Can the taps be relocated above the DFE? Yes No	
 Is space available for the equipment in the alternate location? Yes No 	
 Can existing spaces be modified to accept equipment? YesNo 	
Is additional space needed?Yes No	
Do local codes restrict such relocations? Yes No	
Can the well be protected in-place? Yes No	
 Is it feasible to install a curb or "pony" wall around equipment to act as a barrier? Yes No 	
 Is it feasible to construct a waterproof vault around equipment below the DFE? Yes No 	
Can the wellhead and tank be protected from scour and impact forces? Yes No	
Can the supply lines be properly protected from scour and impact forces? Yes No	
Can backflow prevention valves be used to minimize flow of floodwater into the water source? Yes No	

Figure 5W-3. Flood-Resistant Retrofitting Field Investigation Worksheet (concluded)

5W.7 Design Overview

This section presents the process of designing and implementing measures to retrofit existing building utility systems. Retrofitting may involve a combination of elevating and/or protecting in place. The general design process involved with wet floodproofing is shown in Figure 5W-4.

Elevation and protection in place alternatives for electrical systems, HVAC systems, fuel supply/storage systems, water systems, and sewer systems are discussed in Sections 5W.8 through 5W.12.



5W.8 Electrical Systems

Electrical system components can be seriously damaged by floodwater when either active or inactive. Silt and grit accumulates in devices not rated for complete submergence and destroys the insulation of the device. Current circuit breakers and fuses are designed to protect the wiring conductors and devices from overload situations, including short circuit or ground fault conditions. Floodwater seriously affects operation of these devices.

Most houses were not designed to mitigate potential flood damage to electrical equipment; however, there are retrofitting steps that will provide permanent protection for the electrical system.

- The most important step is to raise or relocate equipment and devices above the DFE.
- A second step is to seal electrical equipment penetrations on outside walls, anchor cables and raceway, and mechanically protect the wiring system in flood-prone locations.
- A third step is to seal out moisture. Electrical system problems occur as moisture permeates devices and causes corrosion.

- A fourth step necessary for retrofitting is the addition of Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter (GFCI) breakers, which deactivate circuits when excessive current leakage is encountered. This step ultimately assists life safety protection and may be required by local codes.
- If it is possible, mount main service lines and the meter to the downstream side of the structure to limit the exposure to debris impact. If service from the distribution lines are underground, it is important to verify that they are buried to a sufficient depth to eliminate them being uncovered by erosion or scour. If possible, mount the meter to above the DFE and sufficiently secure it and the service lines below the DFE to resist flood loads.

Each residence presents the designer with a unique set of characteristics, including age, method of construction, size, and location. There are different combinations of systems that may need to be modified. When it is not feasible to elevate in place, the following information provides the design considerations and details that govern the retrofitting of electrical equipment and circuits below the DFE:

- receptacles and switches should be kept to a minimum and elevated as high as is practical;
- circuit conductors must be Underwriters Laboratories (UL) listed for use in wet locations;
- wiring should be run vertically for drainage after being inundated;
- new wiring should be underground feeder (UF) grade wiring to eliminate the need to replace large portions of wiring behind walls following flooding;
- receptacles and switches should be installed in non-corrosive boxes with holes punched in the bottom to facilitate drying. The receptacles will have to be replaced after inundation by floodwater;
- lighting fixtures should be connected via simple screw base porcelain lampholders to allow speedy
 removal of lamps or fixtures, and the lampholders can be cleaned and reused;
- sump pumps and generators should have cables long enough to reach grounded receptacles above the DFE;
- all circuits below the DFE should be protected by GFCI breakers;
- circuits serving equipment below the DFE should be placed on separate GFCIs, clearly marked in the breaker box. This allows power to be turned off to circuits below the DFE without affecting the rest of the home; and
- wiring splices below DFE should be kept to a minimum. If conductors must be spliced, use crimp connectors and waterproof with heat shrink tubing or grease packs over the splice.



CROSS REFERENCE

Additional information on these elements can be obtained from FEMA's NFIP Technical Bulletin 7-93, Wet Floodproofing Requirements for Structures Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in Accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program (FEMA, 1993b).

5W.9 Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning Systems

HVAC system equipment (i.e., furnaces, boilers, compressors) should be elevated/relocated above the DFE or protected within a watertight enclosure whenever possible. However, the protection of HVAC system equipment requires consideration of several factors. Some points to consider when evaluating potential retrofitting measures are:

- adequate space and structural support for relocated equipment;
- maintenance of required equipment clearances and maintenance access dictated by code and/or manufacturer;
- provision of adequate combustion air for fuel-burning equipment;
- modification and/or maintenance of proper venting of fuelburning equipment;
- necessity of non-combustible construction materials;
- necessity of eliminating ductwork below the DFE whenever possible;
- suitability of protective partitions or vaults;
- reconfiguration of ductwork;
- consideration of duct construction material; and
- modification of hot water or steam circulation piping.



NOTE

In a post-flooding situation, the designer may recommend replacing old equipment with a new one that meets current codes, is more energy/costefficient, and fits in the desired location. In some cases, the old equipment may be replaced with lateral or in-line equipment, installed in the attic to protect it from flooding.

5W.10 Fuel Supply/Storage Systems

In conjunction with the retrofitting of HVAC equipment, the designer must consider rerouting and/ or extending fuel supply lines (i.e., fuel oil, natural gas, and propane gas) when equipment is relocated. Floodwater can pull poorly anchored tanks off their foundations (see Figure 5W-5) and result in damages and the potential spill of toxic liquids. In order to prevent damaged fuel supply or storage tanks, the following should be considered with respect to fuel supply/storage systems:

- extension of fuel supply lines to relocated equipment;
- use of flexible connections;
- adequate support and anchorage to resist hydrostatic and hydrodynamic forces that act on tanks. This can be accomplished by:
 - elevating tanks on structural fill;
 - elevating tanks on a braced platform;

Construction of the second structure of the second str

reusable.

- anchoring tanks to properly install and using designed ground anchors (Figure 5W-6);
- anchoring supply lines to the downstream side of structural members;
- relocating fuel tank because of equipment relocation; and
- using automatic cut-off valves.

Figure 5W-5. An improperly anchored tank; tethered only by a supply line



Figure 5W-6. Fuel tank anchored from two sides



5W.11 Water Systems

The primary threats that floodwater poses to water systems are contamination and velocity flow damage. Contamination by floodwater may occur through infiltration into on-site water wells, public water supplies, open faucets, or broken pipes. In flood-prone areas that experience high velocity flow, damage may occur from the effects of the velocity, wave action, and/or debris impact. Some factors to consider when retrofitting water systems include:

- minimization of plumbing fixtures below the DFE;
- allowance of adequate space for elevating components;
- modification of lines and fixtures to prevent backflow;
- protection of system components from high velocity flow;
- suitability of protective partitions or vaults; and
- modification of the well top using watertight casing.

5W.12 Sewer Systems

The main dangers associated with the flooding of sewer systems are backup of sewage, damage of system components, and contamination of floodwater. Because these dangers could result in serious health risks, preventive measures could help clean-up expenses and hazards. Retrofitting sewer systems to eliminate or minimize the dangers include the following possible options:

- relocation of collection components to a higher elevation;
- installation and/or maintenance of a check or sewer backflow prevention valve;
- installation and/or maintenance of combination check and gate valves (see Figure 5W-7);
- installation of an effluent ejector pump;
- provision of a backup electrical source;
- sealing of septic tank to prevent contamination; and
- adequate anchorage of septic tank to withstand buoyancy forces.

It is important that sanitary sewage storage systems in floodprone areas are able to prevent contamination during and immediately following a flooding event. In areas where ASCE 24 is enforced, Section 7.3.4 specifically outlines sizing requirements for sealed storage tanks during and after flood



contamination.

ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES for Retrofitting Flood-Prone Residential Structures

NOTE Adequate protection of all fuel, water, sewer pipes, and tanks from damage caused by erosion, scour, buoyancy, debris impact, velocity flow, and wave action should be verified during the retrofitting design process.

events while the soil is saturated. The guidelines are intended to prevent contamination of the floodwater. Even if ASCE 24 is not a required design standard, it is an appropriate guidance document for sealed sanitary storage tank sizing requirements.



Figure 5W-7. Backflow valve – a check valve and gate valve with an effluent pump bypass SOURCE: FEMA 348, 1999A

5W.13 Calculation of Buoyancy Forces

The anchorage of any tank system consists of attaching the tank to a resisting body with enough weight to hold the tank in place. The attachment, or anchors, must be able to resist the total buoyant force acting on the tank. The buoyant force on an empty tank is the volume of the tank multiplied by the specific weight of water. It is usually advisable to include a safety factor of 1.3, as is shown in the net buoyancy force computation in Equation 5W-1.

R

NOTE

To minimize buoyancy forces, fuel tanks should be "topped off" prior to flooding.

CROSS REFERENCE

For a more detailed analysis of buoyancy forces, refer to FEMA 348, Protecting Building Utilities from Flood Damage: Principles and Practices for the Design and Construction of Flood Resistant Building Utility Systems (FEMA, 1999a)



The volume of concrete required to offset the buoyant force of the tank can be computed as shown in Equation 5W-2.



To resist this buoyant force, a slab of concrete with a volume, V_c , is usually strapped to the tank to resist the buoyant load.

Sample calculations for the net buoyancy force on the tank and concrete volume required to resist buoyancy are available in Appendix C.

5W.14 Construction/Implementation

The retrofitting of utility systems, both elevating and protecting in place, must conform to the requirements set forth in local and state building codes, standards, floodplain ordinances, and equipment manufacturer's installation instructions. Building codes may include reference codes and standards. These reference codes typically address electrical, plumbing, and other utility items of work. It is important to verify compliance with each of these reference codes during the design phase and into the construction phase. For material or equipment substitutions, the technical bulletins, FEMA publications, and ASCE 24 referenced in this chapter should be consulted. All applicable permits and inspections should be completed prior to beginning the next phase of the construction.

The successful construction and implementation of wet floodproofing measures should include the use of flood-resistant materials and consider operations and preparedness planning in Section 5W.3.

6.3 Case Study #3: Residential Retrofit Outside of the Floodplain Using Dry or Wet Floodproofing

This case study exercise examines the retrofit of a residential building outside the floodplain by means of dry floodproofing or wet floodproofing. Details are provided in the subsections that follow.

6.3.1 Description of Property

Jorge Luis Borges 18 Chai Avenue Memphis, TN 36549

The Borges family built their home in 1992. It is a one-story structure with a walkout-on-grade basement that serves as a garage. It is not in the floodplain but, due to the sloping terrain and the development in the area, water tends to collect in their backyard. Since living in the house, they've had water in their garage nearly every time it rains. On four occasions, they have had to conduct some repairs and replacements to damaged items and building materials. Mr. Borges estimated the amount of damage he incurred during each event (see Table 6-6). The main level does not have any flooding problems.

The Borges family does not live in the SFHA and, therefore, does not have flood insurance. However, the damage they incurred in 2011 encouraged them to retrofit their home to protect it against further damages.

6.3.2 Structure Information

18 Chai Avenue is a good quality, 1-story masonry house with a walkout-on-grade garage (see Figures 6-30 and 6-31).





Figure 6-31. Elevation drawings from the front, back, and side of the Borges house

6 CASE STUDIES

Other structure information includes:

- Main floor (footprint): 1,600 square feet (40 feet x 40 feet)
- Garage: 1,200 square feet (30 feet x 40 feet)
- Foundation:
 - Garage walls are reinforced and grouted CMU block, 8 inches thick, supported by a 2-foot-wide x 1-foot-thick concrete wall footer with a 6-inch-thick interior concrete slab.
 - Main floor over garage is supported on 2-inch x 8-inch joists spaced at 16 inches on center. Main floor not over garage is 4-inch-thick concrete slab supported by a 2-foot-wide x 1-foot-thick concrete wall footer.
 - Approximately 5 feet of the side garage walls are exposed at grade level.
 - Below-grade walls have an existing drainage system to control hydrostatic pressures below ground.
- Structure:
 - Main structure: Concrete block with common brick veneer
 - Garage: Concrete block with common brick veneer
 - Wood-frame interior walls with gypsum board sheathing
- Roof:
 - Gable roof with 1-foot overhangs over main structure
 - Asphalt shingle roof covering over entire roof
- Interior:
 - Wood stud interior walls with gypsum board sheathing
 - Hardwood floor coverings
- Entrances:
 - The garage has two entrances: a single pedestrian door (3-feet wide) and a standard garage door (8-feet wide)
 - There are no other windows or entrances in the garage

Plot

No part of the Borges' plot is in the floodplain. The site soils are primarily poorly graded gravel (Soil Type GP).

Building Assessment

An updated tax card is included at the end of this case study as an alternate source of the building replacement value as well as to verify the building square footage data.

Additionally, an engineer's estimate is that the Borges' home has a building replacement value of approximately \$100.00 per square foot, based on popular cost estimating guides.

Flood Hazard Data

Because 18 Chai Avenue is not in the floodplain, there is no BFE for the structure. However, Mr. Borges has kept records of flood events that required some repairs. Flood depths are in inches from the top of the garage floor (see Table 6-6).

Damage Year	Flood Depth (inches)	Damages (2011 dollars)
1994	6	\$2,500
1999	1	\$500
2003	2	\$800
2011	8	\$5,000

Table 6-6. Summary of Damages for the Borges House

Based on this history of flooding, Mr. Borges would like to protect his house from up to 2 feet of flooding.

6.3.3 Retrofit Options Selection

During an initial interview with the Borges family, potential retrofit options were discussed (Figure 6-32). Initially, relocation was quickly ruled out because the Borges family was not willing to move. Floodwalls and levees were also ruled out, because there is not sufficient space on the property to undertake those methods. Although elevation was considered, it is not required and the costs were unreasonably high for the required level of protection.

Based on the retrofit option screening matrix, the two most viable options are dry floodproofing and wet floodproofing.

Dry Floodproofing

The purpose of dry floodproofing is to keep the water out of the garage. Refer to Table 1-3 for the advantages and disadvantages of dry floodproofing. This would involve:

- applying a waterproof sealant to the exterior of the CMU block walls, approximately \$12/linear foot for a 2-foot flood depth (note that the sealant need only be applied to exposed walls because there is an existing drainage system for below-grade walls); and
- installing metal flood shields over the two doors, approximately \$250/linear foot for a 2-foot flood depth.

Note that other dry floodproofing measures such as check valves, sump pumps, and drainage are not considered because there is no plumbing in the garage.

	Preliminary Floodproofing/Retrofitting Preference Matrix								
Owner Name:_	Jorge J	uis Borges		Pre	epared By:	Jane Q	. Engineer		
Address:	18 Cha	i Avenue				Date:	9/1/2011		
Property Locat	ion: Memph	iis, TN							
Floodproofing Measures									
							\bigcirc		
Considerations	Elevation on Foundation Walls	Elevation on Fill	Elevation on Piers	Elevation on Posts and Columns	Elevation on Piles	Relocation	Dry Flood- proofing	Wet Flood- proofing	Floodwalls and Levees
Note the measures NOT allowed						Х			Х
			H	omeowner C	oncerns				
Aesthetic Concerns	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
High Cost Concerns	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
Risk Concerns	Х	Х					Х	Х	
Accessibility Concerns	Х	Х	Х	Х					
Code Required Upgrade Concerns									
Off-Site Flooding Concerns	Х	х					х		
Total "X's"	5	5	3	3	3	NA	2	1	NA

Instructions:

Determine whether or not floodproofing measure is allowed under local regulations or homeowner requirement. Put an "x" in the box for each measure which is not allowed.

Complete the matrix for only those measures that are allowable (no "x" in the first row). For those measures allowable or owner required, evaluate the considerations to determine if the homeowner has concerns that would affect its implementation. A concern is defined as a homeowner issue that, if unresolved, would make the retrofitting method(s) infeasible. If the homeowner has a concern, place an "x" in the box under the appropriate measure/consideration. Total the number of "x's". The floodproofing measure with the least number of "x's" is the most preferred.



The exposed areas of the CMU wall are:

Back wall: 40 ft - 3 ft - 8 ft = 29 ft

Side walls: $2 \ge 5$ ft = 10 ft

Therefore, the total cost of sealant is (10 ft + 29 ft) x 12/lf = 468

Refer to Figure 5D-3 in Chapter 5D for details of sealant systems.

Metal closures would require 3 ft + 8 ft = 11 ft of closure.

Therefore, the total cost of closures is (11 ft) x 250/lf = 2,750

Refer to Figures 5D-5 and 5D-6 in Chapter 5D for closure details.

The total cost of dry floodproofing is \$3,218. Additionally, an additional \$75 per year will be needed to maintain the floodproofing sealants and shields.

Using this cost estimate, a preliminary BCA yields a BCR of 1.39. Therefore, this project would be cost effective.

This technique may be effective for a few inches of water, but it could lead to far more significant damages for greater levels of flooding. Dry floodproofing may not work for water levels that are sufficient to cause uplift against the underside of the garage slab, leading to cracking and water intrusion into the garage. See Section 6.3.4 for calculations related to the slab of the house. The hydrostatic forces associated with 2 feet or more of water on the slab would likely cause the slab to crack, allowing water into the garage and resulting in severe damage to the foundation of the house. This option is included here to illustrate its use; however, it is strongly recommended that the wet floodproofing option be used over the dry floodproofing option. Refer to the buoyancy check calculations in Section 6.3.4 for further information.

Wet Floodproofing

The purpose of wet floodproofing would be to allow water into the garage to equalize hydrostatic forces. Refer to Table 1-4 for the advantages and disadvantages of wet floodproofing. This would involve:

- elevating all stored contents above the floodproofing depth (2 feet);
- elevating all utilities above the floodproofing depth (2 feet); and
- installing flood vents along back wall and sides of house (see Figure 5E-15).

Note that wet floodproofing often includes replacing interior finishes with flood damage-resistant materials. Because the wet floodproofed area is a garage, there are no interior finishes. Additionally, concrete block walls and floors are considered to be flood damage-resistant under NFIP Technical Bulletin 2-08, *Flood Damage-Resistant Materials Requirements for Buildings Located in Special Flood Hazard Areas in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program* (FEMA, 2008a).

It is expected that the cost of wet floodproofing will be approximately \$3,600, with an additional \$50 a year budgeted to maintain the project, including clearing flood vents. A preliminary BCA yields a BCR of 1.41. Therefore, this project would also be cost effective.

6.3.4 Load Calculations

The paragraphs that follow provide calculations for flood loads, dead loads, live loads, and load combinations, as well as bearing capacity, sliding, uplift, and overturning checks associated with the dry and wet floodproofing options.

Load Calculations: Flood Loads

The first step is to calculate hydrostatic forces (Figure 6-33). As determined above, the floodproofing depth H is 2 feet. The house is slab-on-grade, so the saturated soil depth is 0 feet (again, these calculations are for the exposed walls only; there is an existing drainage system for the buried walls). Note that, for dry floodproofing, the hydrostatic forces act on the house in both the horizontal and vertical directions. For wet floodproofing, however, the hydrostatic forces are equalized, so the equivalent hydrostatic force (vertical and horizontal) is 0 pounds.

Because the source of flooding is surface runoff rather than a water body, the flow velocity is considered to be 0 ft/sec and there are no hydrodynamic or flood-borne debris impact forces.

Flood Force Summary:

Horizontal Force: $f_{comb} = 124.8 \text{ lb/lf}$ $F_i = 0 \text{ lbs}$

> The total flood force acting on the back wall is: $F_{sta} = (124.8 \text{ lb/lf x } 40 \text{ ft}) = 4,992 \text{ lbs (dry floodproofing)}$

Vertical Force: F_{bouy} = 149,760 lbs (dry floodproofing)

Load Calculations: Dead Loads

The dead load is the self-weight of the structure. Case Study #1 illustrates a detailed calculation of the dead load. For this case study, assume a dead weight of approximately 40 lb/ft² over 1,600 square feet for the main level, plus approximately 40 lb/ft² over 1,200 ft² for the garage.

 $D = 40 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (1,600 \text{ ft}^2) + 40 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (1,200 \text{ ft}^2) = 112,000 \text{ lbs}$

Load Calculations: Live Loads

Live Load (Vertical)

Per ASCE 7-10, assume a live load of: $L = 40 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (1,600 \text{ ft}^2 + 1,200 \text{ ft}^2) = 112,000 \text{ lbs}$

	Hydrostatic Force (Computation Work	sheet	
Owner Name:	Jorge Juis Borges	Prepared By:	Jane Q. Engir	neer
Address:	18 Chai Avenue		Date:9/1/2	011
Property Location	on: Memphis, TN			
Constants				Summary of Loads
$\gamma_w =$	specific weight of water = 62.4 lb/f lb/ft ³ for saltwater	t ³ for fresh water	and 64.0	$f_{sta} = 124.8 \text{ lb/ft}$
Variables				$J_{dif} = 0.10/10$
<i>H</i> =	floodproofing design depth (ft) = 2	2 ft		$f_{comb} = 124.8 \text{ lb/ft}$
<i>D</i> =	depth of saturated soil (ft) = 0 ft			$F_{bouy} = 149,760 \text{ lbs}$
<i>S</i> =	equivalent fluid weight of saturated	d soil (lb/ft ³) = 75	lb/ft ³	
Vol =	volume of floodwater displaced by $1,200 \text{ ft}^2 \ge 2 \text{ ft} = 2,400 \text{ ft}^3$	a submerged obje	ect (ft ³) =	
P _b =	hydrostatic pressure due to standin H (lb/ft ²), $P_b = \gamma_w H = 124.8 \text{ lb/ft}^2$	ng water at a dept	h of	
Equation 4-4: Late	ral Hydrostatic Force			
$f_{sta} = \frac{1}{2}$	$P_b H = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_w H^2 = (1/2)(62.4 \text{ lb/ft}^3)(2 \text{ ft}^3)$	t) ² = 124.8 lb/ft		
Equation 4- 5: Sub	merged Soil and Water Force			
$f_{dif} = \frac{1}{2}$	$(S - \gamma_w)D^2 = 0$ lb/ft			
Equation 4-6: Com	bined Lateral Hydrostatic Force			
$F_{buoy} = 2$	$\gamma_w(Vol) = 124.8 \text{ lb/ft} + 0 \text{ lb/ft} = 124.8$.8 lb/ft		
Equation 4-7: Bud	byancy Force			
$db = \frac{C_a}{2}$	$\frac{dV^2}{dg}$ = (62.4 lb/ft ³)(2,400 ft ³) = 149,7	760 lbs		

Figure 6-33. Hydrostatic Force Computation Worksheet for the Borges house (Refer to Figure 4-9)

Roof Live Load (Vertical)

Per ASCE 7-10, assume a roof live load of 20 lb/ft². The roof live load acts on the horizontal projected area of the roof: $L_r = 20 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (1,600 \text{ ft}^2) = 32,000 \text{ lbs}$

Snow Load (Vertical)

Assume a conservative snow load of 20 lb/ft², per ASCE 7-10. The snow load also acts on the horizontal projected area of the roof. $S = 20 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (1,600 \text{ ft}^2) = 32,000 \text{ lbs}$

Wind Load (Horizontal)

Appendix C contains a detailed discussion of wind load calculations, including a detailed example. Refer to Appendix C for wind load calculations; this case study uses a simplified approach. Using a simplified wind load, assuming that the structure is fully enclosed, assume a worst case scenario wind load acting perpendicular to the structure (i.e., on the entire face of the structure facing the river). Therefore, assume a wind pressure of 30 lb/ft² acting uniformly over the entire aboveground structure:

Area = Exterior Wall area + Vertical Roof area $\Rightarrow A = (40 \text{ ft})(10 \text{ ft}) + (40 \text{ ft})(16 \text{ ft}) + (1/2)(4 \text{ ft})(40 \text{ ft}) = 1,120 \text{ ft}^2$ $W_H = 30 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \times (1,120 \text{ ft}^2) = 33,600 \text{ lbs}$

Wind Load (Vertical)

With a 1-foot overhang, assume that the only vertical wind force is acting upwards on the horizontal projected area of the overhangs (a simplification).

The horizontal projected area is taken to be 1 foot as a conservative estimate.

The upward wind force acts on the length of the overhang (40 feet) on each side of the house. Therefore, the total horizontal area is:

 $\Rightarrow A = 2 \ge 1$ ft ≥ 40 ft = 80 ft²

Assuming a vertical wind load of 20 lb/ft², the total vertical wind load is:

 $W_V = 20 \text{ lb/ft}^2 \text{ x} (80 \text{ ft}^2) = 1,600 \text{ lbs}$

Earthquake Load

Earthquake forces are assumed to be negligible for this location, because the project is located far from the New Madrid fault. Therefore, for the purposes of this case study, E = 0.

Load Combinations

To determine the worst-case horizontal and vertical loading scenarios, ASCE 7-10 load combinations are used (Allowable Stress Design).

Load Summary:

```
Horizontal Loads
```

 $D = L = L_r = S = E = 0$ $F_a = F_{sta} = 4,992$ lbs (dry floodproofing); $F_a = 0$ lbs (wet floodproofing) W = 33,600 lbs

Vertical Loads

 $\begin{array}{l} D = 112,000 \ \text{lbs} (\downarrow) \\ L = 112,000 \ \text{lbs} (\downarrow) \\ L_r = 32,000 \ \text{lbs} (\downarrow) \\ S = 32,000 \ \text{lbs} (\downarrow) \\ W = 1,600 \ \text{lbs} (\uparrow) \\ E = 0 \\ F_a = F_{buoy} = 149,760 \ \text{lbs} (\uparrow) \ (\text{dry floodproofing}), F_a = 0 \ (\text{wet floodproofing}) \end{array}$

Table 6-7 presents a summary of the horizontal and vertical loads for the Borges house.

	Horizontal (lbs)	Vertical (lbs)
1. <i>D</i>	0	112,000
2. <i>D</i> + <i>L</i>	0	224,000
3. $D + (L_r \text{ or } S \text{ or } R)$	0	144,000
4. $D + 0.75L + 0.75(L_r \text{ or } S \text{ or } R)$	0	220,000
5. $D + (0.6W \text{ or } 0.7E) + 0.75F_a$	23,904 (dry) 20,160 (wet)	-1,280 (dry) 111,040 (wet)
6a. $D + 0.75L + 0.75(0.6W) + 0.75(L_r \text{ or } S \text{ or } R) + 0.75F_a$	18,864 (dry) 15,120 (wet)	106,960 (dry) 219,280 (wet)
6b. $D + 0.75L + 0.75(0.7E) + 0.75S + 0.75F_a$	3,744 (dry) 0 (wet)	107,680 (dry) 220,000 (wet)
7. $0.6D + 0.6W + 0.75F_a$	23,904 (dry) 20,160 (wet)	-46,080(dry) 66,240 (wet)
8. 0.6 <i>D</i> + 0.7 <i>E</i>	0	67,200

Table 6-7. Summa	ary of Horizontal and	I Vertical Load	Combinations f	for the Borges	House Combination
	··· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Bearing Capacity Check

 $P_{max} = A_{bearing} S_{bc}$

 $S_{hc} = 2,500 \text{ lb/ft}^2$ (see Table 5-2)

The bearing area is taken to be the area of the footer under the garage:

 $A_{bearing} = 2$ ft x (2x40 ft + 2x30 ft) – (4 ft x 2 ft) = 272 ft² $P_{max} = (2,500 \text{ lb/ft}^2)(272 \text{ ft}^2) = 680,000 \text{ lbs}$

Maximum vertical load: $436,000 \text{ lbs} < P_{max} \checkmark$

Sliding

Lateral forces are resisted by the walls of the structure, buried footers, and the slab. An analysis of resistance to sliding on foundation walls is included in Case Study 1. Additional sliding resistance will be provided by the slab.

Note that, although the home is unlikely to slide, the garage walls are susceptible to cracking due to lateral hydrostatic forces.

Uplift and Overturning

Resistance to uplift and overturning will be provided by the footers, the slab, and the soil below grade. An analysis of uplift resistance provided by footers is included in Case Study 1, and that additional resistance is provided by the slab. Note that, although the structure is unlikely to float out of the ground, the slab is susceptible to cracking (see below).

Slab Check

For dry floodproofing, it is necessary to check that the slab can resist the vertical and horizontal flood forces. This is done by checking the uplift forces against the dead load of the slab, as well as by checking the bending moment at the slab-to-wall connection. This analysis is a simplified comparison of vertical forces to the dead weight of the slab and does not account for steel reinforcement inside the slab. A slab that is both bottom-and top-reinforced may be able to resist uplift forces without cracking.

For this check, the dead load is the weight of the slab only (not including the rest of the structure): $D = 1,200 \text{ ft}^2 \ge 6 \text{ in. } \ge 1 \text{ ft}/12 \text{ in. } \ge 150 \text{ lb/ft}^3 = 90,000 \text{ lbs}$

The vertical and horizontal flood forces are the same:

 $F_V = 149,760$ lbs $F_H = 4,992$ lbs

The worst case loading scenario for both the uplift and moment checks will be $0.6D + 0.75F_a$.

Uplift:

0.6D = 0.6(90,000 lbs) = 54,000 lbs $0.75F_V = 0.75(149,760 \text{ lbs}) = 112,320 \text{ lbs} > 54,000 \text{ lbs}$ **NOT ACCEPTABLE (dry floodproofing)**

The buoyancy forces are greater than the resisting force of the slab, causing the slab to crack or even rise out of the ground.

Bending:

For this check, the pivot point is the connection of the slab to the back wall and only the flood and slab weight forces are included, as shown in Figure 6-34.

 $0.6M_D = 0.6(15 \text{ ft})(90,000 \text{ lbs}) = 810,000 \text{ ft-lbs}$

 $0.75M_{Fa} = 0.75(15 \text{ ft})(149,760 \text{ lbs}) + 0.75(2/3 \text{ ft})(4,992 \text{ lbs}) = 1,687,296 \text{ ft-lbs} > 810,000 \text{ ft-lbs}$ **NOT ACCEPTABLE (dry floodproofing)**



The moment resulting from the flood forces is significantly greater than the resistive force of the slab, causing the slab to crack.

Dry floodproofing the existing garage is therefore not an option, because a flood depth of 2 feet would cause the slab to fail, allowing water into the house and requiring expensive repairs. The Borges family can either opt to use wet floodproofing, or they can install a thicker, better reinforced slab.

6.3.5 Supporting Documentation

This section includes additional information about the Borges house. The following maps and documents provide backup documentation for the values used in the Case Study 3 calculations, including:

- topographic map showing the location of the plot and ground elevation (Figure 6-35);
- FIRM excerpt showing the location of the Borges house, outside of the 100-year floodplain (Figure 6-36);
- elevation certificate showing the first floor elevation (Figure 6-37);
- tax card providing building value and square footage (Figure 6-38); and
- BCA report excerpt summarizing the cost effectiveness of dry and wet floodproofing (Figure 6-39).

Figure 6-35. Topographic map showing the location of the Borges house (circled in red). Please note these are 10-foot contours.





ederal Emergency Management Agency ational Flood Insurance Program	Important:	Read the instruction	ons on nad		Ex	pires March 31, 2012
	sect				Ean Incurren	
A1. Building Owner's Name Jorge Luis	Borges	ION A - PROPERTY		TION	Policy Num	ber
A2. Building Street Address (including <i>,</i> 18 Chai Avenue	Apt., Unit, Suite, and/or B	ldg. No.) or P.O. Route	and Box No.		Company N	IAIC Number
City Memphis State TN ZI	P Code 36549				-	
A3. Property Description (Lot and Block	k Numbers, Tax Parcel Nu	umber, Legal Description	n, etc.)			
 A4. Building Use (e.g., Residential, Nor A5. Latitude/Longitude: Lat Lo A6. Attach at least 2 photographs of the A7. Building Diagram Number A8. For a building with a crawlspace or a) Square footage of crawlspace (b) No. of permanent flood opening enclosure(s) within 1.0 foot abc 	n-Residential, Addition, A ng Hori building if the Certificate enclosure(s): or enclosure(s) is in the crawlspace or we adjacent grade	ccessory, etc.) <u>Resident</u> izontal Datum:	ial 1927 □ N flood insurar 9. For a bui a) Squa b) No. c within	AD 1983 nce. ilding with an atta are footage of atta of permanent flood n 1.0 foot above a	ched garage: ched garage I openings in tł djacent grade	<u>1200</u> sq ft he attached garage <u>0</u>
 c) Total net area of flood openings d) Engineered flood openings? 	s in A8.b □ Yes □ No	sq in	 c) Total d) Engli 	l net area of flood	openings in A9	0.b_ <u>0</u> sqin Yes □ No
S	ECTION B - FLOOD I	NSURANCE RATE N	IAP (FIRM)		N	
B1. NFIP Community Name & Commun	ity Number	B2. County Name			B3. State	
R4 Map/Papel Number P5 Suffi	y B6 EIDM Indox	B7 EIDM Do	201	P8 Flood	IN RO Roco I	Flood Flovetion(c) (Zono
0145 F	Date 9/28/2007	Effective/Revised	d Date	Zone(s) NA	AO, us	se base flood depth) NA
FIS Profile FIRM	Community Dete	pr base flood depth ente prmined Souther D 1929 NAVI m (CRRS) prop or Other	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [wige Bretest	NA Other (Describ	e)	
 FIS Profile FIRM Indicate elevation datum used for E Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date 	Community Dete FE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster	rmined ⊠ Othe D 1929 □ NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other □ CBRS □	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [wise Protect OPA	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)?	e) Y	es 🗌 No
FIS Profile FIRM FIRM FIN Indicate elevation datum used for E Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC	Community Dete BFE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster	rmined ⊠ Othe D 1929 □ NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other □ CBRS □ ELEVATION INFORM	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [wise Protect OPA ATION (SL	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR	e) □ Y ED)	es 🗌 No
FIS Profile FIRM FIS Profile FIRM I1. Indicate elevation datum used for E I2. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC SEC SEC SEC Second State State Second State	Community Dete GFE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources System TION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction A (with BFE), VE, V1-V3 ram specified in Item A7. batum	trmined Othe Trmined Othe To 1929 NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS CBRS	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. 8/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE.	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A	e) Y ED) I Finished H, AR/AO. Co	es Donestruction
FIS Profile FIRM FIS Profile FIRM I1. Indicate elevation datum used for E I2. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC S	Community Dete Community Dete FE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster TION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction A (with BFE), VE, V1-V3 ram specified in Item A7. Datum asement, crawlspace, or element	br base flood depth ente irmined ☐ Othe D 1929 ☐ NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other ☐ CBRS ☐ ELEVATION INFORM wings* ☐ Buil n of the building is comp 30, V (with BFE), AR, AF Use the same datum as enclosure floor) 250.3	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. XA, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Cf ⊠ feet	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A neck the measure meters (Puer	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co ment used. to Rico only)	es DNo
FIS Profile FIRM FIRM FIS Profile FIRM I1. Indicate elevation datum used for E I2. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC SE SEC SEC SE SE SEC SE SE	Community Dete Community Dete FE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster TION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction A (with BFE), VE, V1-V3 ram specified in Item A7. Datum asement, crawlspace, or o structural member (V Zor or equipment servicing the d location in Comments) o pare to building (LAG)	e building 254.2	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. &/A, AR/AE, / S the BFE. Cf ⊠ feet ☐ feet ☐ feet ☐ feet	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIF Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measure meters (Puer feet feet feet meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer)	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co ment used. to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puertor meters (Puertor to Rico only) to Rico only)	es No
 ☐ FIS Profile ☐ FIRM 11. Indicate elevation datum used for E 12. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date	Community Dete Community Dete FE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster CION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction A (with BFE), VE, V1-V3 ram specified in Item A7. atum assement, crawlspace, or o structural member (V Zor or equipment servicing the d location in Comments) e next to building (LAG) de next to building (HAG)	enclosure floor) 250.3 260.3 nes only) e building 254.2 250.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 260.0	red in Item B r (Describe)] D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. X/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Cf S feet S feet S feet S feet S feet	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measured meters (Puer feet feet feet meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finished H, AR/AO. Co nent used. to Rico only) meters (Puerto to Rico only) to Rico only) to Rico only) to Rico only)	es No
 ☐ FIS Profile ☐ FIRM 11. Indicate elevation datum used for E 12. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date	Community Dete GE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster TION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction A (with BFE), VE, V1-V3 am specified in Item A7. Datum assement, crawlspace, or o structural member (V Zor or equipment servicing the d location in Comments) e next to building (LAG) t elevation of deck or stail	or base flood depth entermined Othe immined Othe D 1929 NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other Othe CBRS Immined state CBRS cBRS Immined	red in Item B r (Describe)] D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. X/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Cł ∑ feet ⊆ feet ⊆ feet ⊆ feet ⊆ feet	NA Other (Describ ed Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measured meters (Puer meters (Puer feet meters (Puer meters (Puer)) meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer meters (Puer)) meters (Puer meters (Puer)) meters (Puer)) meters (Puer) meters (Puer)) meters (Puer) meters (Puer)) meters (Puer) meters (Puer)) meters (Puer	e) Y ED) I Finisher A, AR/AO. Co nent used. to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puerto to Rico only) meters (Puerto	es No
FIS Profile FIRM FIRM FIS Profile FIRM FIRM FIS the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC Sec	Community Dete Community Dete GFE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster CION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction construction acount with BFE), VE, V1-V3 fram specified in Item A7. Datum assement, crawlspace, or of structural member (V Zor or equipment servicing the d location in Comments) e next to building (LAG) te next to building (HAG) t elevation of deck or stai CTION D - SURVEYOF	or base flood depth enter primined Othe D 1929 NAVI m (CBRS) area or Other Image: CBRS CBRS Image: CBRS wings* Image: CBRS soin of the building is comp 250.3 ebuilding 254.2 250.0 260.0 260.0 260.0 crs, including Image: CBRS	red in Item B r (Describe)] D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. &/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Cr ⊠ feet G feet G feet G feet G feet G feet G feet	NA Other (Describ ded Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measure meters (Puer feet feet meters (Puer meters (Puer	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co ment used. to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puerto to Rico only) to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puerto DN	es No
	Community Dete Community Dete FE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster CION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction cauined w	ELEVATION INFORM In GERS) area or Other CBRS ELEVATION INFORM In GERS ELEVATION INFORM In of the building is comp 30, V (with BFE), AR, AF Use the same datum are enclosure floor) <u>250.3</u> <u>260.3</u> nes only) e building <u>254.2</u> <u>250.0</u> <u>260.0</u> irs, including R, ENGINEER, OR AI Ingineer, or architect aut sents my best efforts to i r imprisonment under 18 is rimprisonment under 18 is rimprisonm	red in Item B r (Describe)] D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. X/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Ch ⊠ feet G	NA Other (Describ ded Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A AR/A1-A30, AR/A1-A30, AR/A AR/A1-A30, AR/A1-A30, AR/A AR/A1-A30, AR/A1-A30, AR/A	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co ment used. to Rico only) to Rico only)	es No
□ FIS Profile □ FIRM 11. Indicate elevation datum used for E 12. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date 12. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date SEC SEC 1. Building elevations are based on: *A new Elevation Certificate will be r 2. Elevations – Zones A1-A30, AE, AH below according to the building diag Benchmark UtilizedVertical E Conversion/Comments a) Top of bottom floor (including b b) Top of the next higher floor c) Bottom of the lowest horizontal d) Attached garage (top of slab) e) Lowest elevation of machinery (Describe type of equipment an f) Lowest adjacent (finished) grad g) Highest adjacent (finished) grad h) Lowest adjacent grade at lowes structural support SEC This certification is to be signed and see information. I certify that the information understand that any false statement ma Check here if comments are provide Certifier's Name Jane Q. Engineer Title Project Engineer	Community Dete Community Dete GEE in Item B9: NGVI Barrier Resources Syster TION C - BUILDING E Construction Dra equired when construction (Construction Dra equired when construction acquired when construction (Construction Dra equired when construction (Comments, VI-V3 aram specified in Item A7. Datum assement, crawlspace, or or structural member (V Zor or equipment servicing the d location in Comments) te next to building (LAG) te levation of deck or stai CTION D - SURVEYOF led by a land surveyor, e or on this Certificate represe y be punishable by fine on ed on back of form.	CBRS OF DASK TOOL OUT THE TIME OF DASK TOOL OUT THE TIME OF DASK OF THE TIME OF THE TIME OF T	red in Item B r (Describe) : D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. Z/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Ch ⊠ feet G	NA Other (Describ ded Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIR Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measure meters (Puer feet feet feet feet CERTIFICATIC w to certify elevat data available.1 Section 1001 on A provided by a Section 2001 on A provided by a 3654	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co ment used. to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puertor to Rico only) to Rico only) to Rico only) to Rico only) meters (Puertor DN 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	es No
□ FIS Profile □ FIRM 11. Indicate elevation datum used for E 12. Is the building located in a Coastal Designation Date	Community Dete Gramminity Dete Gramminity Dete Community Dete Gramminity Dete Gramminity Dete Gramminity Dete Gramminity Dete Gramminity Dete Company Name City Memphis Company Name City Memphis	CBRS OF DATA SET TO A CONTRIBUTION OF DATA SET TO A CONTRIBUTION INFORM CBRS) area or Other CBRS CBRS CBRS	red in Item B r (Describe) D 1988 [Wise Protect OPA ATION (SL ding Under C lete. X/A, AR/AE, / s the BFE. Cr ⊠ feet ⊠ feet ⊠ feet ⊠ feet ⊠ feet RCHITECT horized by la niterpret the B U.S. Code, ude in Section Yes Number 18	NA Other (Describ ded Area (OPA)? IRVEY REQUIF Construction* AR/A1-A30, AR/A heck the measured meters (Puer meters (Puer feet feet meters (Puer meters (Puer	e) Y ED) ⊠ Finisher H, AR/AO. Co nent used. to Rico only) to Rico only)	es No

Figure 6-37. Elevation certificate excerpt for the Borges house

Property Location and C	Owner Information	2011 Appraisal and Asses	sment Information
Parcel ID:	D0134 L00000	Class: RESIDEN	
Property Address:	18 Chai Avenue	Land Appraisal:	\$50,900
Municipal Jurisdiction:	UNINCORP	Building Appraisal:	\$150,338
Neighborhood Number:	0000000	Total Appraisal:	\$201,238
Land Square Footage:	6795		
Acres:	0.1560	Total Assessment:	\$50,700
Lot Dimensions:	61.55/66.43X110/85		
Subdivision Name:	BRECKENWOOD SEC F	Greenbelt Land:	\$0
Subdivision Lot Number:	000	Homesite Land:	\$0
Plat Book and Page:	00-00	Homesite Building:	\$0
Number of Improvements:	0	Greenbelt Appraisal:	\$0
Owner Name:	BORGES JORGE LUIS	Greenbelt Assessment:	\$0
In Care Of:			
Owner Address:	18 Chai Avenue		
Owner City/State/Zip	Memphis, TN 36549		

	Dwelling Construction Information					
		Heat:	CENTRAL A/C AND			
			HEAT			
Stories:	1.5	Fuel:	NA			
Exterior Walls:	Brick Veneer	Heating System:	NA			
Land Use:	Single Family					
Year Built:	1991	Fireplace Masonry:	0			
Total Rooms:	6	Fireplace Pre-Fab:	0			
Bedrooms:	3					
Bathrooms:	2	Ground Floor Area:	1600			
Half Baths:	0	Total Living Area:	1600			
Basement Type:	Slab					
		Car Parking:	Garage			

Figure 6-38. Tax card for the Borges house

6 CASE STUDIES

16 Sep 2011	Project: Case Study 3				Pg 1 of 9
Total Benefits: \$11,700		\$9,191 _		BCR:	1.27
Project Number:	Disaster #:	Program:	Agei	ncy: City of Me	mphis
State: Tennessee Poir	nt of Contact:		Anal	yst:	
Project Summary:					
Project Number:		Disaster #:			
Program:		Agency:	City of Memphis		
Analyst:					
Point of Contact:	Phor	ne Number:			
Address: Memph	is, Tennessee				
Email:					
Comments:					
Commonia.					
Structure Summary For					
1-Dry Floodproofing, 18 Cha	ai Ave, Memphis, Tennessee,	36549, Shel	by		
Structure Type: Building	Historic Buildi	ng: No	Contact	:	
Benefits: \$5,757	Cos	sts: \$4,971	BCR	: 1.16	
Mitigation	Hazard		BCR	Benefits	Costs
Dry Flood Proofing	Damage-Frequency Ass	sessment	1.16	\$5,757	\$4,971
2-Wet Floodproofing, 18 Ch	ai Ave, Memphis, Tennessee,	, 36549, She	lby		
Structure Type: Building	Historic Buildi	ng: No	Contact	:	
Benefits: \$5,943	Cos	sts: \$4,220	BCR	.: 1.41	
Mitigation	Hazard		BCR	Benefits	Costs
Other flood proofing measures	3 Damage-Frequency Ass	sessment	1.41	\$5,943	\$4,220

Figure 6-39. Sample BCA report excerpt for dry and wet floodproofing of the Borges house

6.3.6 Real World Examples

Although the Borges house is fictional, wet- and dry-floodproofing are both commonly used flood mitigation measures outside of the 100-year floodplain. Figures 6-40 through 6-43 are examples of real structures that have been protected using the mitigation measures discussed in this case study.

Figures 6-40 and 6-41 show flood shields installed in dry floodproofed buildings.



Figure 6-40. Example of a flood shield over a door



Figure 6-41. Example of a flood shield over a door

Figures 6-42 and 6-43 show typical flood openings in exterior walls:



Figure 6-42. Example of flood vents

Figure 6-43. Example of flood vents

